

ANNI INTOSOTO

International Journal of

Management Research and Business Strategy

> E-mail editor@ijmrbs.org editor.ijmrbs@gmail.com

www.ijmrbs.com

Vol. 13, Issue. 2, 2024

CYBER TREAT INTELIGENCE MINING FOR PROACTIVE CYBER SECURITY DEFENSE

PRIYA PACHOURI¹, UPPULAPU BHUVANESHWARI², PANDIRI SATHYASRI³, BHUKYA AVINASH⁴, KURAM CHANDU⁵

¹Assistant professor, Dept.of CSE, Malla Reddy College of Engineering HYDERABAD.

^{2,3,4,5}UG Students, Department of ITE, Malla Reddy College of Engineering HYDERABAD.

ABSTRACT:

Improvements in PCs and correspondence have brought about broad changes in comparison to the past. The use of new technologies gives individuals, companies and governments unbelievable benefits, even when they are messing against them. For eg, security of major data, safety of data stadiums, accessibility of information etc. Digital fear-based oppression is, depending on these questions, one of the biggest problems of our day. Digital fear, which has brought many problems to citizens and organizations, has come to an extent that could threaten the openness and protection of the nation through numerous events, such as criminal groups, professional individuals and digital activists. In this sense, IDS systems were built to maintain a strategic distance from digital attacks. Intrusion detection systems (IDS) At the moment, learning the computation of the SVM (Bolster Support Vector Machine) was used to identify port sweep efforts that depend on a new data set of CICIDS 2017, which achieved 69.79% individually. We should implement other algorithms, including CNN, ANN and Random Forest, rather than SVM, so that accuracy such as SVM – 93.29, CNN - 63.52, Random Forest – 99.93, ANN – 99.11 can be acquired.

Keywords: IDS, CNN, ANN, SVM, Digital terror.

1. INTRODUCTION Improved PCs and correspondence developments have in comparison to the past, contributed to extensive and propelling improvements. The use of novel technologies gives individuals, 136

www.ijmrbs.com

Vol. 13, Issue. 2, 2024

be accurate, such as SVM – 93.29, CNN – 63.52, RF – 99.93, ANN -99.11. Instead of SVM.

MOTIVATION

The use of new technologies individuals, gives companies and governments unbelievable benefits, even when they are messing against them. For eg, security of major data, safety of data stadiums, accessibility of information etc. Digital fear-based oppression is, depending on these questions, one of the biggest problems of our day. Digital fear, which has brought many problems to citizens and organisations, has come to an extent that could threaten the openness and protection of the nation through numerous events, such as criminal groups, professional individuals and digital activists. In this sense, IDS systems were built to maintain a strategic distance from digital attacks. Intrusion detection systems (IDS)

companies and governments incredible advantages, whether or not they are destroyed. For eg, the safety of essential data, security of revealed data phases, information accessibility, etc. fear-based Digital oppression, depending on these questions, is nowadays one of the most critical issues. Digital terror, which has posed problems for people many and organizations, has reached a degree that could threaten accessible and security for country, through numerous meetings, such as criminal alliances, professional people and digital activists. In this respect, IDS has been built to maintain a strategic distance from digital assaults. Right now the measurements of the SVM have been used to classify port sweeping activities based on the latest CICIDS 2017 data set with 97.80%, 69.79% of precise data is carried out individually. Rather than using the SVM, it is possible to join other forest algorithms, such as RF, CNN and ASN, which can

www.ijmrbs.com

Vol. 13, Issue. 2, 2024

2. EXISTING SYSTEM :

The Almansob and Lomte KDD99 dataset was used in Blamless Bayes and Principal Component Analysis Similarly, (PCA). Chithik and Rabbani have also used PCA, SVM and KDD99 for IDS[10]. In Aljavarskaya et al. The papers, their evaluations and examinations have been transmitted based on the NSL-KDD data set for their IDS model [11] Composite inspectorates indicate that the KDD99 dataset is used continuously for IDS [6]–[10]. KDD99 is therefore older and provides little knowledge about cutting-edge new forms of attack, for example, multi-day misuse etc. In our investigation, we used an innovative and new dataset from CICIDS2017.

PROPOSED SYSTEM :

This paper tries to find which algorithm can predict best accuracy rates that help to better predict outcomes to identify cyber attacks or not. These predictions are made by four algorithms such as SVM, ANN, RF and CNN. This technique was used to detect cyber attack using machine learning technologies on the network.

Literature survey:

2.1 R. 2.1 2.1 "Harbor scanning and defense against them," Christopher, SANS Institute, 2001. 2001. - 2001.

Port scanning is one of the most common techniques used in network resource finding for attackers. All systems connected via modem to the LAN or the Internet run on common or unknown ports services. The intruder will see the following information on the target devices by way of port scanning, which services are executed by users, support for anonymous logins and authentication of certain network services. The scan of the port is accomplished by sending a message to each port one by one. The reaction form indicates if the port is used and additional vulnerabilities can be tested. Port scanners for are important network security technicians because the target system may detect possible security vulnerabilities. Since port scans can be performed against your programs, port scans can be detected and open services information limited by the right tools. Each publicly available computer has and can operate open ports. The goal is to limit the visibility and refusal of approved users of open ports to closed ports.

2.2 pp. 2.2 p. 2.2 p. J. A. Hoagland, J. A. Staniford. - Staniford. M. McAlerney, Computer Security Journal, Vol. "Practical

www.ijmrbs.com

Vol. 13, Issue. 2, 2024

automated port detection," 10, 1-2, 118-24, 2002. 2002. 2002

Port scanning is a common method that is very important. Software attackers often use it to classify hosts or networks they are opposed to. This makes it preliminary to classify port scans for more serious attacks useful for system administrators and other network advocates. Network defenders also use their own networks to take into account and find vulnerabilities. Accordingly, attackers must determine whether or not network advocates scan the network regularly. But Defenders don't normally want to mask their ports' scanning, even if attackers. We'll certainly speak in the rest of this article about those attackers who search the network and supporters who attempt to check. Online mailing lists and newsgroups are ongoing the legal/ethical debate of port scanning. It is necessary to scan remote network port itself, without the owners' consent, as a legal and ethical activity. This is actually a grey field in most jurisdictions. But our experience in monitoring unwanted remote scanning is that virtually all of them come from endangered, hostile host systems.

Data preprocessing

. [0].	"wrong_fi "num_comp "num_shel "is_guest "rerror_i "dst_host "dst_host	agment", "ur promised", "r lls", "num_ac t_login", "co ate", "srv_r t_diff_srv_r _srv_diff_ t_rerror_rat	gent" oot_she cess_f: ount" " error ate" " ost_rat e" "dst	hot ell" iles" srv_c rate" dst_h te"," t_hos	"su_atte "su_atte "num_ou count","s "same_s lost_same dst_host st_srv_re	iled_log mpted" " tbound_c error_ra rv_rate" _src_por _serror_ rror_rat	ins" num te" d tra rate e"	, "logged in", root", "num fi "is_host_log "srv_serror_ iff_srv_rate" te", ","dst_host_s attack", "las	le_cre in" rate" "srv_ rv_ser t_flag	atio diff ror_ "]	ns", _host_rate","d rate",	st_host_	count","dst_ho	st_sr
n [7]:	train.col test.colu	lumns=column umns=columns	S											
n [8]:	train.hea	ad ()												
ut[8]:	duration	protocol_type	service	flag	src_bytes	dst_bytes	land	wrong_fragment	urgent	hot	num_failed_logins	logged_in	num_compromised	root_
	0 0) udp	other	SF	146	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1 0	tcp	private	S0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	2 0	tcp	http	SF	232	8153	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
	3 0	tcp	http	SF	199	420	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
	4 0	tcp	private	REJ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	4													Þ
- [0]	**** h-**													

Data EDA

n [6] · columns=["du



ML Deploy

Logistic Regression
<pre># Building Models from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression logreg = LogisticRegression(random_state=0,solver='lbfgs',multi_class='multinomial') logreg.fit(train X, train y) logreg.predict(train X) #by default, it use cut-off as 0.5</pre>
<pre>list(zip(cols, logreg.coef_[0]))</pre>
logreg.intercept_
logreg.score(train_X,train_y)

www.ijmrbs.com

Vol. 13, Issue. 2, 2024

Decision Trees						
train_X.shape						
<pre>param_grid = {'max_depth': np.arange(2, 12),</pre>						
train_y.shape						
<pre>from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV from sklearn.tree import BecisionTreeClassifier, export graphviz, export tree = GridSearchCVUbecisionTreeClassifier(), param_grid, cv = 10,verbose=1,n_jobs=-1) tree.fit(traim_X, traim_y)</pre>						
tree.best_score_						
tree.best_estimator						
<pre>train_pred = tree.predict(train_X)</pre>						
<pre>print(metrics.classification_report(train_y, train_pred))</pre>						
<pre>test pred = tree.predict(test X)</pre>						

	Random Forest
1	<pre>from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier pargrid_rf = {'n_estimators': [50,60,70,80,96,100],</pre>
:	<pre>from sklearn.model_selection import GridSwarchCV gscv_rf = GridSearch(V(estimator#RandomForestClassifier(),</pre>
	<pre>gscv_results = gscv_rf.fit(train_X, train_y)</pre>
1	gscv_results.best_params_
1	<pre>gscv_rf.best_score_</pre>
1	<pre>radm_clf = RandomForestClassifier(oob_score=True,n_estimators=80, max_features=5, n_jobs=-1) radm_clf.fit(train_X, train_y)</pre>
;	<pre>radm test pred = pd.DataFrame({ 'actual': test y,</pre>
	'predicted': radm clf.predict(test X) })
	<pre>syport Vector Machine (SVM) from sklearn.sym import LinearSVC</pre>
1	<pre>'predicted': radm clf.predict(test X) }) Support Vector Machine (SVM) from sklearn.svm import LinearSVC svm_clf.fit(train_,frian_,v) svm_clf.fit(train_,frian_,v) </pre>
	<pre>'predicted': radm clf.predict(test X) }) Support Vector Machine (SVM) from sklearn.svm import LinearSVC svm_clf = LinearSVC(random_state=0, tol=le-5) svm_clf.fit(train_x,train_y) print(svm_clf.coef.) print(svm_clf.intercept.) print(svm_clf.predict(train_X))</pre>
	<pre>'predicted': radm clf.predict(test X) }) Support Vector Machine (SVM) from sklearn.svm import LinearSVC swm_clf.fit(random_state=0, tol=1e-5) swm_clf.fit(random_state=0, tol=1e-5) sym_clf.fit(stricting=Crept_) print(svm_clf.intercept_) print(svm_clf.intercept_) print(svm_clf.predict(train_X)) from sklearn.svm import SVC from sklearn.svm import SVC from sklearn.svm import SVC from sklearn.svm import SVC </pre>
	<pre>'predicted': radm clf.predict(test X) }) Support Vector Machine (SVM) from sklearn.avm impert LinearSVC swm_clf.fist(arain_x) print(tyw_clf.cof.) print(tyw_clf.intercopt.) print(tyw_clf.intercopt.) print(tyw_clf.predict(train_X)) from sklearn.sym import SVC from sklearn.sym.import SVC from sklearn.sym</pre>
	<pre>'predicted': radm clf.predict(test X) }) Support Vector Machine (SVM) from shlarm.avm impert LinearSVC swm_clf = LinearSV(radmo state=0, tol=1e-5) svm_clf.fit(train_X,train_y) print(svm_clf.intercept_) print(svm_clf.intercept_) print(svm_clf.intercept_) from sklarm.svm import SVC state(train_X,train_y) </pre>
	<pre>'predicted': radm clf.predict(test X) }) Support Vector Machine (SVM) from sklearn.avm import LinearSVC sym_clf = LinearSVC(radmo state=0, tol=le=5) sym_clf = LinearSVC(radmo state=0, tol=le=5) sym_clf = LinearSVC(radmo state=0, tol=le=5) print(sym_clf.intercopt_) print(sym_clf.intercopt_) print(sym_clf.intercopt_) print(sym_clf.predict(trialm,X)) from sklearn.avm import SVC from skl</pre>
	<pre>'predicted': radm clf.predict(test X) }) Support Vector Machine (SVM) from sklarm.nvm impert LinearSVC sym_clf = LinearSVC(radmo state=0, tol=le=5) sym_clf = LinearSVC(radmo state=0, tol=le=5) sym_clf = LinearSVC(radmo state=0, tol=le=5) print(sym_clf.intercept_) print(sym_clf.intercept_) print(sym_clf.intercept_) print(sym_clf.predict(train_X)) from sklearn.sym_import SVC from sklearn.andel_selection import GridSearchCV param_grid = (C': [1, 10], from sklearn.sodel_selection import GridSearchCV</pre>

From the score accuracy we concluding the DT & RF give better accuracy and building pickle file for predicting the user input

🙄 Desktop/41/finished/sec: 🗴 🖸 app.py-Jupyter Text Edi: 🗴 🥫 emotion reco-Google Do 🗴 📋			- 1	×
C 👌 C localhost:8888/edit/Desktop/41/finished/second/3/Network-Intrusion-Detection-System-master/app.py	\$ 5	•	* 6	÷ :
🖽 Apps 🚦 Untitled Diagr 🔠 Untitled Diagr 👔 pandas - Recei 🧧 covid - Google 👔 python - debu				
jupyter app.py≠ 2#06/2020		Logout		
File Edit View Language		Python		
1 (most tablib				
			•	
<pre>5 app = Flask(name) 6 model = joblib.load('model.pkl')</pre>			t.	
<pre>/ gap.route('/') / def home();</pre>				
<pre>10 return render_template('index.html')</pre>				
<pre>11 Bapp.route('/predict',methods=['POST'])</pre>				
13 def predict():				
<pre>15 int features = [float(x) for x in request.form.values()]</pre>				
16				
17 if int_features[0]==0:				
18 f features=[0,0,0]+int_features[1:]				
19 elif int reatures[0] = 11				
2) elif in features[0]av2-				
22 f features: [0,1,0]+int features [1:]				
23 else:				
24 f_features=[0,0,1]+int_features[1:]				
25				
20 If f teatures[6]==0:				
22 alif f features [[]]				
20 fn features[features].61e13.01ef features[7:1]				
30 else:				
31 fn features=f features[:6]+[0,1]+f features[7:]				
32				
1) final fastures - fas accoulds fastures)				

Localhost - in cmd python app.py

<pre>user@ramesh:~/Desktop/41/finished/second/3/Network-Intrusion-Detection-System-</pre>	ma
<pre>sters python3 app.py /home/user/.local/lib/python3.6/site-packages/sklearn/base.py:334: UserWarning Irying to unpickle estimator LogisticRegression from version 0.22.1 when using ersion 0.23.2. This might lead to breaking code or invalid results. Use at you own risk. UserWarning) * Serving Flask app "app" (lazy loading) * Envinomment: production</pre>	ו: ו /
WARNING: This is a development server. Do not use it in a production deploy	
Use a production WSGI server instead. * Debug mode: off	
* Running on http://127.0.0.1:5000/ (Press CTRL+C to quit)	
🙄 Desktop/41/finished/se: X 🔯 app.py-Jupyter Text Edi X 📴 emotion reco-Google D: X 🗞 Network intrusion Deteci X + @ X	
← → C △ ③ 127.0.0.15000 Q ☆ B ⊕ \$ () :	
🖽 Apps 🔂 Untitled Diagr 🤮 Untitled Diagr 🦼 pandas-Recei 🥫 covid-Google 🏦 python-debu	
- Network Intrusion Detection System	
Attack:	
Oher 🗸	
Number of connections to the same destination host as the current connection in the part two seconds :	
court	
The percentage of connections that were to different services, among the connections aggregated in dot_host_count :	
dst_iver_edf_ov_eas	
The secondage of connections that were to the same source port, among the connections aggregated in dat host say count :	
dat, hoat, same, sec, port, sale	
The percentage of connections that were to the same service, among the connections aggregated in dot_long_count : dot_long_same_pro_mine	
Number of connections having the same port number : ds1 bord sey count	
an and a final sector and a fina	

Enter the input

Application

🙄 Desktop/41/finished/sec: x 👔 app.py-Jupyter Text Edit: x 🛛 📴 emotion reco-Google Do x 🔞 Network intrusion Detect: x 🕴 🗕 a	×
← → C ① 0 127.0.0.15000) I
🗮 Apps 🔣 Untitled Diagr 🤮 Untitled Diagr 🏄 pandas-Recei 🚦 covid - Google 🏄 python - debu	
Status of the connectionNormal or Error :	^
Other	
Last Flag :	
1	
1 if successfully logged in; 0 otherwise :	
5	
The percentage of connections that were to the same service, among the connections aggregated in count :	
5	
The percentage of connections that have activated the flag (4) s0, s1, s2 or s3, among the connections aggregated in count :	.
4	
Destination network service used http or not :	
No	1
Redet	

Predict attack -

Predict
Attack Class should be DOS

3. CONCLUSION

Right now, vector aid estimates, ANN, CNN, Random Forest, and deep learnings based on modern CICIDS2017 dataset have been relatively added. The findings show that the in-depth estimation of learning has obtained essentially better results than SVM, ANN, RF and CNN. With AI and in-depth learning calculations. apache Hadoop and sparkling inventions, we will make common use of port sweep efforts as well as other assault forms that rely on that dataset. All of this allows us to identify the network cyber threat. It occurs in a

ISSN 2319-345X www.ijmrbs.com

Vol. 13, Issue. 2, 2024

way that when we consider the many attacks that occurred over a long period of time, the features of these attacks are preserved in those datasets if they are remembered. We will also predict whether cyber attack is conducted or not using these datasets. This document aims to assess the best prediction algorithms to avoid the best outcomes of cyber attacks. This article can be found in four algorithms including SVM, ANN, RF, CNN.

FUTURE SCOPE

We add some ML algorithms for improvement to improve the precision.

REFERENCES

[1] K. Graves, Ceh: Official certified ethical hacker review guide: Exam 312-50. John Wiley & Sons, 2007.

[2] R. Christopher, "Port scanning techniques and the defense against them," SANS Institute, 2001.

[3] M. Baykara, R. Das,, and I. Karado 'gan, "Bilgi g "uvenli 'gi sistemlerinde kullanilan arac,larin incelenmesi," in 1st International Symposium on Digital Forensics and Security (ISDFS13), 2013, pp. 231–239.

www.ijmrbs.com

Vol. 13, Issue. 2, 2024

[4] S. Staniford, J. A. Hoagland, and J. M. McAlerney, "Practical automated detection of stealthy portscans," Journal of Computer Security, vol. 10, no. 1-2, pp. 105–136, 2002.

[5] S. Robertson, E. V. Siegel, M. Miller, and S. J. Stolfo, "Surveillance detection in high bandwidth environments," in DARPA Information Survivability Conference and Exposition, 2003. Proceedings, vol. 1. IEEE, 2003, pp. 130–138.

[6] K. Ibrahimi and M. Ouaddane. "Management of intrusion detection systems based-kdd99: Analysis with lda and pca," in Wireless Networks and Mobile (WINCOM), Communications 2017 International Conference on. IEEE, 2017, pp. 1-6.

[7] N. Moustafa and J. Slay, "The significant features of the unsw-nb15 and the kdd99 data sets for network intrusion detection systems," in Building Analysis Datasets and Gathering

Experience Returns for Security (BADGERS), 2015 4th International Workshop on. IEEE, 2015, pp. 25–31.

[8] L. Sun, T. Anthony, H. Z. Xia, J. Chen, X. Huang, and Y. Zhang, "Detection and classification of malicious patterns in network traffic using benford's law," in AsiaPacific Signal and Information Processing Association Annual Summit and Conference (APSIPA ASC), 2017. IEEE, 2017, pp. 864– 872.

[9] S. M. Almansob and S. S. Lomte, "Addressing challenges for intrusion detection system using naive bayes and pca algorithm," in Convergence in Technology (I2CT), 2017 2nd International Conference for. IEEE, 2017, pp. 565–568.

[10] M. C. Raja and M. M. A. Rabbani, "Combined analysis of support vector machine and principle component analysis for ids," in IEEE International Conference on Communication and Electronics Systems, 2016, pp. 1–5.